Example of a local area children's services summary profile

November 2009

Overall annual rating for children's Performs well services:



Inspected services, settings and institutions										
% of providers judged outstand	ling, good, s	satisfactory, inadequate for overall effectiveness								
	number inspected	- % outstanding/good/satisfactory/inadequate -	% outstanding or good (fixed bands)	comparison with similar areas	comparison with national average					
Childminders	564		70%	above	in line					
Childcare on domestic premises	100		71%	above	in line					
Childcare on non-domestic premises	61		72%	above	in line					
Nurseries (in schools)	100		82%	above	in line					
Primary schools	150		82%	above	in line					
Secondary schools	30		61%	above	in line					
Sixth form schools (in secondary, special and PRU)	10		50%	in line	in line					
Special schools	10		80%	above	in line					
Pupil Referral Units	4		50%	above	above					
General Further Education and Tertiary colleges	1		0%	below	below					
6th form colleges	1		100%	above	above					
Independent specialist colleges	0									
Children's homes	20		70%	above	in line					
LA Fostering agency	1		100%	above	above					
LA Adoption agency	1		100%	above	above					
Private fostering arrangements	1		100%	above	above					

Safeguarding and looked after children inspections, including unnanounced inspections, and serious case reviews										
	Annual unnanounced contact, referral and assessment (safeguarding) inspection	Jul 09	This inspection did not raise concerns							
	Full inspection of safeguarding	Jul 09	Good							
	Full inspection of services for looked after children	Jul 09	Good							
	Joint area review	Jun 08	Safeguarding:	Good	Services for looked after children:	Good				
	Serious Case Reviews conducted adequately or better (out of total no. of cases)	from Apr 07	2	out of	2					

Every Child Matters indicators	(National	lational Indicator Set)								
	Count of it	ndicators with	a	comparison with similar areas			comparison with national			
	data available	total NIS	% of indicators in each band (upper/upper middle/lower middle/lower quartiles)	no. above	no. in line	no. below	no. above no	. in line	no. below	
Being Healthy	4	10		3	1		4	0	0	
Staying Safe	14	14		10	2	2	8	6		
Enjoying and Achieving	33	40		10	20	3	25	8	0	
Making a Positive Contribution	8	11		5	2	1	5	3	0	
Achieving Economic Well-Being	10	11		6	2	2	2	7	1	

Notes

1) Please see the Ofsted publication 'CAA: annual rating of council children's services FOR 2009' for a full list of the indicators used to produce this summary profile, indicators included in the full performance profile, and other evidence used to inform the children and young people element of the joint inspectorate CAA report.

2) For inspected services data the bands for % judged good or better are based on the following cut off points: dark green is 80% of providers or over, light green is 65-79.99%, amber is 50-64.99%, red is less than 50%.

3) For ECM/NIS data, data available is the number of indicators where data currently available to Ofsted, and total NIS is the number of NIS indicators relevant to this outcome. Some NIS are not available until the second year of CAA. For year 1 the bands are based on quartile distribution. The most up to date time period available is used for the summary profile, but time periods vary between different indicators (for instance financial or academic year). ECM grades from Ofsted inspections of providers will also be considered as part of the full performance profile.

4) Comparison with similiar areas is based on the children's services statistical neighbours groups developed by NFER and DCSF.